

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,974	12/08/2003	Yung-Chin Chiang	CHIA3060/EM 1109	
23364	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			VASUDEVA, AJAY	
625 SLATER FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3617	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/728,974	CHIANG, YUNG-CHIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Zi 111 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Ajay Vasudeva	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apports of the second for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Oc	ctober 2004.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmont/cl						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/728,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in claim 1.

Therefore, the following must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s):

- A rubber pad adhered to a bottom surface of the glove.
- The spaces formed between the adjacent finger portions being filled by the rubber pad.
- The rubber pad being substantially flat.

Note: No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 10/22/2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

In claim 1:

The rubber pad adhered to a bottom surface of the glove.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,974 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

The spaces formed between the adjacent finger portions <u>being filled by the rubber</u>
 <u>pad.</u>

The rubber pad <u>being substantially flat after adhesion</u> to the soft glove.

Note: Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office

Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification, as originally filed, in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the amended claim 1, the applicant has claimed the following:

- The rubber pad adhered to a bottom surface of the glove.
- The spaces formed between the adjacent finger portions being filled by the rubber pad.
- The rubber pad <u>being substantially flat after adhesion</u> to the soft glove.

However, the newly added limitations, as above, are not supported in the original specification.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 (line 6), use of "<u>the bottom</u> surface" (emphasis provided) renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear if such is same or different from the "lower surface" of the glove recited previously in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer et al. (US 4,964,824 A).

Spencer et al. shows a glove with adjacent finger portions [20-24] and separated by spaces (see figure 2), and a rubber pad [10a] adhered to at least a bottom surface of the glove such that the spaces between the finger portions are filled by the pad (see col. 4, lines 10-14; and lines 43-51). The finger-receiving hollow of the pad is concave.

It is noted that both the glove as well as the rubber pad are made of soft material. If the pad is connected to the glove <u>when a user's hand is not in the glove</u> and placed on a flat surface, the pad as well as the glove would be substantially flat. Alternatively, if the user spread the fingers in a horizontal plane, the pad would also be disposed in the same horizontal plane.

Art Unit: 3617

Such orientation of the pad is considered as being substantially flat, even though an outer surface of the glove is not flat.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record in the attached PTO Form 892, but not yet relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. The applicant is reminded that inclusion of new matter, which is not supported in the specification <u>as originally filed</u>, is not permitted. However, new matter may be incorporated by filing a Continuation-In-Part (CIP) application.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/728,974

Art Unit: 3617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Ajay Vasudeva whose telephone number is (703) 306-5992. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 1:00 pm--5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, S. Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ajay Vasudeva Examiner Art Unit 3617

٧/ AV

> S. JOSZPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600